INDEX.

Subject Index.

	Page
Statement as to jurisdiction	1
Statement of the case	2
The jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of the United States	3
The State statute, the validity of which is involved	6
The date of the judgment sought to be reviewed and the date upon which application for appeal was presented	9

Table of Cases Cited.

Cudahy Co. v. Parramore, 263 U. S. 418	4
Dahnke-Walker Co. v. Bondurant, 257 U. S. 282	4
Eureka Pipe Line Co. v. Hallanan, 257 U. S. 265	4
Fiske v. Kansas, 274 U. S. 380	4
Industrial Accident Comm., etc., et al. v. Alaska Packers Assoc., 88 Cal. Dec. 75; 34 P. (2d) —	3
Quong Ham Wah Company v. Industrial Accident Commission, 184 Cal. 26, 255 U. S. 445, 65 L. Ed. 723	9
St. Louis, etc., Ry. Co. v. Wynne, 224 U. S. 354	4
Ward & Gow v. Krinsky, 259 U. S. 503	4

Statutes Cited.

Act of January 31, 1928, Section 1, Chap. 14 (45 Stats. 54, 28 U. S. C. A. 861a)	3
California Workmen's Compensation, Insurance and Safety Act of 1917 (Cal. Stats. 1917, p. 831), Sections 6a (subdivisions 1-2-3), 27a, 27b and 58	3, 6
Judicial Code, Section 237a (28 U. S. C. A. 344a)	3
Revised Statutes of the United States, Section 905 (28 U. S. C. A. 687-8)	5
Rules of the Supreme Court of the State of California, Rule 30, Section 1	9
Workmen's Compensation Act of Alaska, Sections 1, 10 and 25, Chap. 77 of the Session Laws of Alaska, 1927, pp. 147-179 and Chap. 25 of the Session Laws of Alaska, 1929	2